WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITIES POLICY STAKEHOLDERS REVIEW COMMITTEE – MINUTES

June 9, 2010 – 8:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m. Development Services Center/City Operations Building 4th Floor Training Room 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101

Committee Members:

	D'11 D2 A 4		TZ 11 T 1
\checkmark	Bill D'Agostino	✓	Kelly Lemker
\checkmark	Carolyn Balkwell	\checkmark	Joe Parker, Alternate
	Craig Benedetto	\checkmark	Brooke Peterson
\checkmark	Robert Coffin	\checkmark	Patrick Shipley
\checkmark	Leslie Daigle		Ed Smith, Jr.
\checkmark	Darrell W. Daugherty	\checkmark	Jany Staley
\checkmark	Jon Dohm	\checkmark	Joe Thompson
\checkmark	Kevin Gregory		Jason Wells
	Gary Halbert		Frisco White, Vice-Chair
\overline{V}	Joe LaCava Chair		

City Staff Members Present:

- ☑ Cecilia Gallardo, Development Services
- ☑ Alex Hempton, Development Services
- ☑ Karen Lynch-Ashcraft, Development Services
- ☐ Michael Neumeyer, City Attorney's Office

CALL TO ORDER

Joe LaCava called the meeting to order at 8:10 a.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

None

PUBLIC COMMENT

<u>Kevin Gregory representing Qualcomm</u> handed out a press release on the use of cell phones as a primary source of communication for public safety contact.

INFORMATION ITEMS - None

ACTION ITEMS

ITEM 1 – Renewal Policy – Continuing discussion on developing guidelines and/or amendments to the San Diego Municipal Code to possibly provide for renewals, time extension or some other mechanism for Conditional Use Permits for Wireless Communication Facilities.

Agenda Item #1 was discussed:

- The Industry began the discussion stating that they were advocating for a renewal policy. The industry then presented a new version of a renewal policy titled "Industry Principles and Outline of Proposed Renewal Policy". This new version included basic principles important to the Industry.
- Some concern was expressed that draft should have been provided to the Committee ahead of time in order for all to review and have meaningful discussion on the points raised.
- Many questions raised requesting clarification off the Industry's "principles" for existing sites.
- Questions regarding how many sites by definition of the "principles" would not have to go through the process.
- Discussion of how many legacy facility sites there are, i.e. Can the Committee agree what that sites they are by defining or listing sites?
- Question was asked about codes from other jurisdictions on renewal of permits for existing sites, and whether or not that had been researched or could be provided to the committee.
- ITEM 2 Ad Hoc Committee Possible creation of an ad hoc committee, comprised of members of the Stakeholders Review Committee (SRC) only, numbering less than a quorum of the SRC, and whose purpose is limited to reviewing and discussing the Industry's draft revised version of Section 126.0115: Subsections e(4) and (5) and f (1)-(5), and to report back at the next regular meeting of the Stakeholders Review Committee on June 23, 2010.
 - It was discussed that a subcommittee formed to discuss code amendments would be premature without first agreeing on a renewal policy. The code changes would then follow in order to implement the policy.

Motion was made to form an Ad Hoc Committee to further discuss a renewal policy. Ad Hoc working group would be comprised of Committee members Staley, Peterson, Lempker and

Halbert representing the Community interests, and Thompson, D'Agostino, Parker, and Daigle representing the Industry interests. (Motion: Peterson, Second: Staley, Approved 12-0)

UPCOMING ITEMS – None

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 9:55 A.M.

Next Meeting: Wednesday, June 23, 2010, 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.